

The Deeper Malaise behind Mrs May's Inept and Ignorant Handling of Brexit

The European Union Carried on by Other Means v2 ed with thanks to Nigel Moore 910 6 2018

Will Mrs May deliver? Very probably not! So when should the Conservative Party expeditiously choose someone else who can and will do it?

THE CUSTOMS UNION solves nothing. THE SINGLE MARKET alone produces stepping stones in real time to keep trade and step on out of the single market in real world thereafter when all necessary global agreements are in place.

BACKGROUND

Mrs May is a product of the past and it shows in her poor political leadership and shambolic Article 50 negotiations leading towards [Brexit in name only](#).

This is a past based on increasing political deference to the European Union (EU) and dependency started by Edward Heath and continued by other Conservative and Labour primers up to the present. This evolved in time into a paradigm (or conceptual framework of ideas, assumptions and perceived wisdom) which set the EU dependent direction for subsequent policies and actions. The only notable exception (perhaps) was Maggie Thatcher who [claimed](#) to be inspired by free market economists [Friedrich Hayek](#) and [Milton Friedman](#), yet still remained largely obsequious to the EU's centralising control freaks and arrogant ideologues. She approved of the * Single European Act whilst PM 1979 to 1990 which decreased UK control over the direction of the EU.

Escape from (conservative and socialist) day to day reality into the EU?

At the heart of any notionally conservative party is a major dilemma for its strategists and leaders, how to expand its popular base beyond the core support of the conservative minded; who make up the majority of party members. This is in effect developing a second unique selling proposition rather than making traditional conservatism popular to the many.

It arises from a belief that they need to also project an image, though not necessarily a reality, of eclectic, inclusive modernity. At one time the EU appeared to provide this modernity. It could, therefore, be accepted for political expediency even when contradictions with core values or British national interests were obvious. ?

The EU comfort zone for Politicians and Public Servants: "Not me Guv, t'was them!"

For any prime minister, not just Conservative or Labour, and also certainly for the Civil Service the EU provides a useful comfort zone. There is the appearance of eclectic modernity, a 'world stage' to strut, a means of escaping responsibility and the respectful acceptance by equals and their subordinates of whatever is seen to be acceptable and accept it without any responsibility. "Not me Guv, t'was them!". Simple, just follow the EU's (mainly greater German) social, political, economic, regulatory, monetary and fiscal lead. Who wouldn't find this reassuring especially as an escape from

political turbulence, the need to be competent and for avoidance of blame should any major mistakes become public?

The EU's corrupting comfort zone

The uninviting (and courageous) alternative to the EU's comfort zone for any minister is to be accused by opponents of being insular, parochial, jingoistic, elitist, 'out in the cold' or 'out of step' with the EU and 'behind the times'. Small wonder Edward Heath and subsequent prime ministers became such EU-centric 'modernity' idealists and were prepared to deceive the public whilst selling out British national interests and [sovereignty](#). Mrs May would need to be very determined to escape the strong force of this ingrained political behaviour and long line of politicians but of course she is not!.

The EU undermines UK Governmental competence

As activities of government were transferred to the EU over the last forty odd years there has been a hollowing out of competence, though not necessarily of numbers in the Civil Service. The result is that in many fields the expertise and motivation required by the government of a sovereign country no longer exists within the UK. As a newly independent country it will take time to re-establish missing expertise and then achieve positive results in our national interest.

The Referendum Vote for Brexit caused a paradigm shift

Times have changed. The majority voting in the Referendum have decided the EU is not the future that they want. This is a major paradigm shift with wide-ranging long-term implications.

The EU is now the past and modernity is to embrace exciting future possibilities outside its claustrophobic clutches. The new modernity has not yet solidified into a paradigm and can potentially include anything from re-invigorating democracy with a more collaborative form of government to re-discovering world leading skills based on long standing national strengths, heritage and culture; there are many – see [The National CV](#) .

Mrs May is still failing to adapt to the new Brexit sovereign inspired modernity and betrays patriotism and integrity

Mrs May is having considerable difficulty elucidating a new post Brexit vision to accord with the Referendum's paradigm shift and resulting new modernity. She is stuck in the obsolete paradigm.

Dependence and deference to the EU is so ingrained that Mrs May can't let go of the past and the old EU-centric view of modernity. There is little or no evidence of her using Brexit as a great facilitator for tackling the big issues facing our country. Hers is the spin, language, actions and policies of the past weak and ineffectively dependent on the EU.

Talk of 'A deep and special relationship with our European partners' is much more a cry for continuing belonging than a confident assertion of independence.

Worse, the EU is allowed to make the running with Mrs May, Mr Davis and the Department for (not) Exiting the European Union eventually caving in to its increasingly [unreasonable demands](#).

Worse still, the current cave-ins (for after Brexit) include an appalling Transition Deal making this country into a [temporary](#) then a [permanent](#) EU vassal state.

Then, to highlight a few, there are [surrendering UK fisheries](#), [defence](#) and [defence procurement](#) to EU bureaucrats and British citizens to the worst justice systems in the EU through retaining the King John tyranny of the wholly unreasonable and destructive of freedoms, The horrendous European Arrest Warrant.

The EEA/EFTA solution discarded by May: A Paradox unless intended?

Whilst obviously being unwilling to leave control by the political EU, Mrs May in total ignorance enigmatically wrongfully decided to leave the existing frictionless trading simplicity of membership of the Single Market (and wider [European Economic Area](#), EEA).

Only this discarded EFTA route would permit the UK to retain near frictionless trade with the EU and EFTA.

May has never explained why this [reckless and grossly negligent](#) decision was made to leave the Single Market without any plans at all let alone a [practical plan](#) for leaving the EU....and any such practical plan if it had existed had to rely wholly upon the goodwill of the EU to negotiate constructively.

There was no May plan at all. The EU has been a steel wall of obduracy.

However, gullibility and ignorance are hinted at in her [Lancaster House speech](#) 17th January 2017 where she appears to have accepted the disingenuous claims of the EU leaders regarding the inviolate nature of the EU four freedoms or is it all part of a plan to betray Brexit?

In reality, the EU is happy to break these principles when it suits it. For example, the EU's proposed [Withdrawal Agreement](#), Article 13 (Protocols NI) allows the EU or the UK, amongst other things, unilaterally to restrict immigration from the other party (to the agreement).

In other words **the EU can restrict immigration into the remaining Member States from the UK, and the UK can restrict immigration from the remaining Member States into the UK.**

There is nowhere to hide for the Remainers and the May Government in their betrayal of the UK vote for Sovereignty and independence.

Spin and handing over more and more political decisions to the EU no longer cuts it post Referendum.

Endless vacuous mantras and blaming the EU for failing to deliver a successful, opportunity filled, Brexit is increasingly unconvincing outside the Westminster bubble muddle. With time running out the country needs to know the truth. Mrs May probably already knows what she must do to save

Brexit from being in name only and trade with the EU from severe disruption. It is set out below as a reminder.

THE ONLY SOLUTION NOW JUNE 2018

The only viable Brexit option is to re-join the free nations in [The European Free Trade Association](#) (EFTA) and use this as part of escaping the EU whilst temporarily remaining in the EEA under much more flexible and favourable conditions in a bespoke version of the [EEA Agreement](#).

Please see for further information : - [The EFTA/EEA Solution to the Current Brexit Impasse](#), [Brexit Reset](#), [Eureferendum.com](#), and other various posts on [Campaign for an Independent Britain](#) and on this web site [concordanceout.eu](#) and [affiliates](#))

Getting onto this escape route (from the EU with the least potential disruption to existing trade) in the coming crisis will need effective [crisis management](#) and something like a modern day [Brexit Operation Dynamo](#).

Will Mrs May deliver? Very probably not! So when should the Conservative Party expeditiously choose someone else who can and will do it?

.....

* Wikipedia: “The **Single European Act (SEA)** was the first major revision of the 1957 [Treaty of Rome](#). The Act set the [European Community](#) an objective of establishing a [single market](#) by 31 December 1992, and codified [European Political Cooperation](#), the forerunner of the [European Union's Common Foreign and Security Policy](#). It was signed at [Luxembourg](#) on 17 February 1986, and at [The Hague](#) on 28 February 1986. It came into effect on 1 July 1987, under the [Delors Commission](#).” “A core element of the SEA was to create a single market within the European Community by 1992, when – it was hoped – the legislative reforms seen necessary would have been completed. To make the objectives possible, the SEA reformed the legislative process both by introducing the [cooperation procedure](#) and by extending [Qualified Majority Voting](#) to new areas. Measures were also taken to shorten the legislative process.”

- Ed: i.e. Less power yet again to member states, more to the Commission and still no power of control over monies, or new bills for legislation or scrutiny for EU Parliament. Accordingly the EU Parliament has no effective powers and is a mere babble shop and waste of much time and monies in a federal construct controlled by the eU Commission alone.