

Time for change within UKIP

Hugh Williams

Following my article published in *eurofacts* July 2017 in which I ventured to outline a way forward for the United Kingdom Independence Party (UKIP), I wish to add further evidence.

What I proposed was that, instead of being like all other political parties with a quiver full of policies, UKIP should come forward with a completely different persona. Their appearance should be totally unlike any other party – their *raison d'être* being, quite simply, to champion the election of independent MPs and councillors.

If this were UKIP's role, we might then get representatives in our various seats of government who think for themselves; people who vote according:

1. To their consciences;
2. To their constituents' wishes; and
3. To their country's needs; instead of being forced (whipped) to vote for the party line no matter what their preference might be. With representatives of that calibre, it's likely that they would vote for what *we* want.

(If anyone wishes to see the whole article, it can be found in Volume 22, Number 11 & 12, issued on 21 July 2017. There's an easy to find PDF of the edition on the June Press under *Eurofacts* - www.junepress.com)

It might be sensible to mention here

that, for those who are already disagreeing with this proposal on the basis that, without whips, a party might never get its business through Parliament, my answer to this objection at the time, and quoting from that article, was, "Tough. Isn't that what democracy is all about?"

I also suggested that, so long as the UKIP candidate was adamant in his or her determination that the UK should never belong to the EU, UKIP would offer guidance as to how their elected members might vote, but this guidance would never amount to any form of whipping.

The reaction that this article provoked at the time was both muted and mixed. On the whole readers seemed not that interested but, in the case of those who did react, I'd say slightly more than 50% were in favour - or at least the majority was not against the idea.

The reason that I am raising this subject again is because I have come across some fascinating historical information which seems to indicate that, though this suggestion of mine might seem to some to be both mad and unworkable, it's been not only tried before but it's been used with remarkable success. My information comes from *The History of Christendom* Vol 1 p 218 by Warren H Carroll. It comes from where he is writing about the centuries immediately before the birth of Christ.

While these quotes seem very supportive of what I have suggested, I have italicised the two sections that seem particularly relevant.

"The Senate had no formal powers; under the Roman constitution it was simply an advisory body but until the last century of the Republic, its advice was almost always taken, especially on matters of foreign policy and domestic taxation, which were its chief concern. The Senate of Rome had no committees, no agendas and for a long time *it had no organised parties* and never took a vote by division of the house. *Decisions were made by consensus. Where there was no consensus, the status quo was left unchanged.*"

I'm thinking that if the Roman Republic, which then became the mighty Roman Empire, didn't do too badly with this system of government - it worked for about 700 years - maybe UKIP should champion this system of government and encourage the UK to take a leaf out of the Romans' book?

[This timely idea comes as UKIP is trying to find a new leader.- Ed]

Hugh Williams is a former UKIP candidate (8 times), and author of Brexit Choices and 101 Reasons Why we should leave the EU. Publisher of Brussels Laid Bare by Marta Andreassen.

Brexit, Ireland and the EU

Anthony Coughlan

The only way of avoiding the establishment of an EU-federal-state-style external frontier post-Brexit, with all its associated problems, either between the North and South of Ireland or between the two islands of Britain and Ireland is for the Republic to leave the EU at or around the same time as the UK. This seems logically and politically an irrefutable proposition, however unpalatable the prospect of leaving the EU may be currently for many in the Republic

If the Republic remains in the EU post-Brexit it will have to pay more to the EU Budget as its proportionate contribution to help compensate for the loss of Britain's annual net payment. A bonus of leaving along with the UK on the other hand is that it would get back control of its sea-fisheries and other underwater resources. The value of annual fish-catches by foreign boats in Irish waters is a several-times multiple of whatever money the Republic has got from the EU over the years. By leaving the EU Ireland would also be taking back the right to make its own laws and decide its own external policies - re-establishing its national democracy and national independence in other words. It would be resuming control of its currency, its budget and taxation policy, its borders, its trade policy and its foreign and security policy and it would be able to maintain a meaningful neutrality policy if it wished to do that.

As regards trade and investment the Republic sends 61% by value of its goods exports and 66% of its services exports to countries that are outside the continental EU26, mostly English-speaking. It gets two-thirds of its imports from outside the EU26. The USA is the most important single-country market for the Republic's foreign-owned firms and the UK for its Irish-owned ones - the latter being especially important for employment. The UK and US markets together are comparable in importance to that of the EU26 post-Brexit. Taking other

English-speaking markets into account, such as Canada, Australia etc., makes trade with the English-speaking world more important for the Republic than the EU26. In 2015, the most recent year for which Central Statistics Office figures are available, the Republic exported €112 billion worth of goods and imported €70 billion. It exported €122 billion worth of services and imported €151 billion

The current belief in official circles in Dublin is that there is a good chance that a meaningful Brexit can be frustrated by cross-party resistance in the House of Commons and Lords, supported from outside. It is taken for granted that the German, French and other EU Governments believe the same and that for this reason the EU is likely to make things as difficult as possible for Britain in the EU/UK negotiations over the coming year. The EU is expected to test to destruction the possibility of Brexit being reversed in Parliament. It is only if the EU and its supporters fail to overturn the Brexit referendum result - and recognise that they cannot - that the serious talking will start, possibly quite late in the day. One can take it that the Irish Government, to judge by its current stance, will be cooperating in this with Brussels and with Germany and France in every way it can and will do everything to frustrate Brexit. UK policy-makers can take it that former Irish-grandeemes will be working behind the scenes with the Irish Government to this end. They will be systematically interacting with those anti-democratic "Remainers" in British Labour and Conservative circles who refuse to accept the 2016 democratic referendum vote. It is this witness's view that it is only if such efforts fail - and are seen to fail - that Irish public policy-makers, and the Irish media and public generally, will face up to the real implications of their seeking to remain part of "Team-EU" when the UK leaves the EU, along with 1.8 million Irish citizens in Northern Ireland and

some 500,000 Irish citizens living in Britain. Irish public attitudes to remaining in the EU are likely to change significantly then.

Former Irish Taoiseach Bertie Ahern implicitly criticised Dublin's unthinking commitment to "Team EU" when he stated that Ireland had "missed the boat" by failing to engage with London directly before the UK/EU negotiations began with EU chief negotiator Michel Barnier, using Strand Three of the Good Friday Agreement for that purpose.... In considering the Irish aspect of the UK/EU negotiations it is important to bear in mind that the career-federalism amongst Irish policy-makers, supported by unthinking sections of the Irish media, which is the principal influence on current Irish Government policy on Brexit, is fundamentally opposed to the interests of the Irish people themselves as well as to the interests of the UK.

If new dimensions are added to the North-South border within Ireland in the context of Brexit it will be the result of the Irish Government's deciding to go with "team EU" rather than with its 1.8 million fellow citizens in Northern Ireland. The responsibility will be overwhelming Ireland's, not Britain's. There are the closest cultural, linguistic, sentimental and historical links between the two island countries. Ninety per cent of the Republic's oil and gas come from the UK. Some 80% of the Republic's trade with the continent goes through Britain. There would be a popular revolt in the Republic if the policy commitment to "Team EU" by the Republic's political elite put the Anglo-Irish travel area in peril in any way. These are relevant points for UK policy-makers to bear in mind when they are interacting with Dublin's Euro-federalists in the period ahead.

Anthony Coughlan is Director of the National Platform EU Research and Information Centre, Ireland.

Cultural and religious changes

According to Patrick Buchanan in his interesting book "The Death of the West" published in 2002 in the US:

* Not a single European country - save Moslem Albania - has a birth rate that will enable it to survive in its present form through this century. By 2050, only one-tenth of the world's people will be of European descent, and it will be the oldest tenth on earth, with a median age of almost fifty.

* Russia, already in terminal

population crisis, will, by 2050, be driven out of Central Asia by Islamic invaders and lose huge slices of Siberia and her Far East to China fifteen times as populous.

* There are 30 million foreign-born in the United States in 2002, and between 9 and 11 million illegal aliens - as many illegals as there are people in Rhode Island, Massachusetts, and Connecticut combined.

* America is losing the cultural war. Militant paganism is crowding out the

old faiths. Separatism is triumphing over integration. The melting pot has become a salad bowl. And the impact upon American society, politics, and culture will be devastating.

[Although the American author writes from an American view point, could the same be said about the change in cultural and religious values of the United Kingdom?

If so there could be a dramatic change in the cultural and political landscape of the UK. - Ed]

Requirements for profitable and successful world trade

We are constantly told that the UK is totally dependent upon trade with the EU, but only a minority of a trade is with the EU approx 40% of exports but most UK trade 80% is within the UK.

In order to trade with the EU we are forced to abide by their rules and regulations, that is okay for EU trade but also limits and controls our trade opportunities with the wider world.

Most other countries including the USA are permitted to trade with the EU but are not subjected to its rules and regulations for trade outside the EU,

which makes total sense in a global world market.

Outside the EU the UK could export profitably to world markets abiding by each countries rules and regulations regarding supplying goods into their markets. Surely that kind of trade is in the best interests of each country and makes greater sense in a democratic world.

Surely the object of a democratically elected UK government is to provide jobs for its work force whilst also supplying a real living wage.

Furthermore, if it also wishes to be

able to balance its books and slowly repay the financial loans it has taken out over a number of years, then profitable world trade is a necessity.

Trade is a two-way street between individual countries not between continents, every country has its individual cultural and trade requirements that abide by its health and safety rules. In order to trade successfully you must be able to produce exactly what is required by that particular market place not just because it complies with an ideological bureaucratic political policy of the EU.

What is the European Union?

The EU is a state system, not a state and continues to believe that it acts as if it is a counterweight to USA, China and Russia, but in reality it is just a slogan with the elusion of being a super-national empire. The EU's aims and intentions of full control over the lives of the citizens of the member states, and their elected government's goes on. Meanwhile the two European states with the highest living standards are actually Norway and Switzerland - both independent and outside the EU.

However, despite having its own flag (emblem), passport, diplomatic service, anthem, supreme court and parliament, most citizens of the EU still consider themselves with their

national identity first, not as European citizens first which is the aim of the EU.

One must not forget that the EU is a political project driven from the top down not from the bottom up. The EU aim of creating a unified state or as many see it an empire is already failing apart just like the Ottoman, Chinese and British empires have in the past. Unfortunately for EU citizens the political elite will continue to push for the goal of domination.

The Commission is run by well paid, undertaxed and unelected bureaucrats with eminence power. We should not forget that this Commission oversees the formulation of EU

policies and orders national bureaucracies all over the member states to execute them. Thereafter, there is no way that its regulations and directives can be reversed by national parliaments. Neither is it possible to discover, how the Commission gets its plans approved by the European Council since discussions in the Council are conducted in secret. Under the Lisbon Treaty, the Council is no longer an independent outside body of heads of government, but simply one more institution of the EU, accountable only to the European Court as are all institutions of the EU.

On a united foreign policy within member states it has failed completely.

Were the people deceived?

Letter sent by Jeremy Wraith to his local MP John Glen

Copy of this letter was also sent to the prime minister. - "I note in your email to me that you confirmed that you voted to 'remain in the EU' during the recent referendum. You were presumably following Conservative Party policy to abolish the United Kingdom as a sovereign, independent and democratic state. This has allegedly been Conservative Party policy since the early 1970's when the Conservative Party allegedly paid £15,000 to become a secret corporate member of Monnet's Action Committee for a United States of Europe. They were allegedly joined in this endeavour soon after by the Labour and Liberal parties.

Your vote to remain in the EU, was therefore supporting the 45+ year Conservative Party policy to abolish the UK as a sovereign, independent and democratic state and thereby this **implied** you were allegedly also committed to, amongst other things;

- * Abolishing the Monarchy as the Head of State of the UK.

- * Abolishing Westminster the "Mother of Parliaments" as the supreme governing body of the UK.

- Abolishing UK citizens right to hire and fire their government thereby effectively dis-enfranchising all UK citizens.

- * Abolishing UK citizens right to call themselves "English" etc.

- * Abolishing UK armed forces and expecting the defence of the realm to be delegated to EU forces.

- * Abolishing the UK's right to formulate its own foreign and domestic policies.

- * Abolishing the UK's membership of the Commonwealth.

- * Giving over 350 million foreigners the legal right to come and live in the UK with no controls whatsoever on how many chose to do so.

- * Giving away vast amounts of UK taxpayers money to foreign agencies with little or no positive control over

the amounts demanded.

- * Giving away the UK's territorial waters and fishing rights to the EU.

Yet none of these aims have ever been brought to the UK public's notice in any of your Conservative Party general election manifestos. Similarly, nor have the Labour or Lib Dem party manifestos. Hence, all three parties have deceived and lied to the UK public as to their true intentions for being in the EU. William Hague's crass, misleading and lying election slogan 'In Europe but not run by Europe' is an example of trying to give the impression that the UK was still wholly governed by our so called elected MP's in Westminster. According to one of your previous web sites you were apparently part of his election team at that time.

You are asked therefore, under the **Freedom of Information Act**, to explain:

1 WHY none of these aims have been declared to the UK public.

2 How much collusion took place between the Conservative, Labour and Liberal/Lib Dem parties to jointly agree to deceive the UK public as to their true intentions before, or since, they all allegedly joined Monnet's Action Committee for a United States of Europe

3 What part did you play in formulating William Hague's crass, misleading and devious election slogan "In Europe, but not run by Europe"?

4 Did you honestly believe that election slogan?

5 If so, can you justify why?

Furthermore, it must have been clearly and increasingly evident during the 45 years of the UK's membership of the EU that, apart from the disastrous political and social results of being in the EU the costs involved were getting vastly out of control. For example:

6 UK trade with the EU was running up excessive annual deficits, such that the current cost to the UK in our trade

with the EEC/EU since 1973 is a DEFICIT of well over £1 trillion. Yet the UK's trade with the rest of the world, using WTO rules was a SURPLUS of over £200 billion and is greater than the UK's trade with the EU.

7 The UK's NETT annual contributions to the EEC/EU budgets has currently cost us over £400 billion cash, a fifth of our current national debt of nearly £2 trillion. In addition, this amount must currently be costing the UK about £10 billion/annum in interest charges. Why is the public not being made aware of this amount and that it must be added to the current NETT annual cost of being in the EU of approx. £10 billion, making £20 billion in total?

8 The annual cost to the UK economy of being in the EU was rising every year by approximately £5 billion, such that it was costing the UK about £185 billion/annum in 2014 (Prof Tim Congdon). Using this figure it can also be estimated that the total cost of being in the EEC/EU for the last 45 years could have been over £8 trillion, or four times our national debt.

9 Being part of the EU's customs union meant that UK householders were having to spend up to an extra £1,000/year on their household shopping as well as extra VAT which was being paid to the EU.

10 Being part of the EU implied that the next generation of UK taxpayers were liable to subsidising, throughout their working lives, the huge cost of well over 30 trillion euros, for EU pensions which was due to be paid from current taxes.

Hence, it is difficult to understand why MP's such as yourself were all in favour of staying in the EU for 45 years. Presumably the over-riding aim of the Conservative

Party of abolishing the United Kingdom as a sovereign, independent and democratic country was worth any price to you and your pro-EU

Continued on page 2

Were the people deceived?

Continued from page 4

colleagues. This still appears to be the governments objective considering the concessions granted to the EU during the current BREXIT negotiations!

Can you therefore confirm under the **Freedom of Information Act** that the Conservative government policy is to

honour the result of the referendum and to LEAVE the EUROPEAN UNION such that after BREXIT is achieved the EU will, in the future, have NO say whatsoever in the affairs of the United Kingdom? Will you also, under the **Freedom of Information Act** confirm whether or not the

Conservative Party and/or the Conservative government are still members of the Action Committee for a United States of Europe, or its successor organisations whatever form they may be and if not, the date and proof of when the Conservative Party and/or government left?

The EU's Visegard group

In 1991 a group called the Visegard Four (V4) was formed, this group comprised of Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovenia.

This political lobby group does not have a secretariat but works together where there is common understanding.

In 2016 the V4 spoke out against the EU in terms of its policy on asylum-seekers, by 2017 this loose alliance became an influential group and has

been seen by the EU as a eurosceptic organisation.

This group varied on its approach to immigration during 2017 but are united on their objection to further EU integration especially over the idea of forcing members to accept more immigration.

In the past there have been many references to a multi-speed Europe and this idea still finds favour with many

members.

Emmanuel Macron the French president has yet again floated the idea of the main EU members trying to get agreement on closer integration. Suggesting that reluctant member states (i.e. the V4 countries) could be left behind, this has not gone down well with the V4 countries.

This according to the V4 countries could lead to the break up of the EU.

What future for the UK inside the EU

As the talk about hard or soft Brexit continues what is becoming very clear is that the majority of the political elite in both Houses of Parliament are determined to keep the UK inside the EU machine.

No thought is being given by the pro-EU lobby to the future of the country inside the EU, they are blind to the destination that the EU train is heading for. Being onboard a train that is not going in the direction of travel that those who believe in true democracy, and the right for citizens to be able to elect the leaders they wish to represent them is a recipe for disaster. The form that it may take could well be outside the control of national or the EU parliament.

The UK cannot be a sovereign country when the highest courts in the land are the European Courts, these are outside the control of the elected members of the UK parliament and outside the country.

How can an elected MP represent his electorate when he or she has very limited influence on future major decisions which are being made at EU

level using QMV voting thereby ignoring the wishes of the individual national parliaments. MEP's from the UK as we have seen over the last 40 years have little if any influence over the will of the majority of MEP's from the other members of the EU.

The EU set out rules for members of the euro to control their budget deficits to be below 3 per cent of GDP. However, without any kind of approval or democratic discussion larger members have been able to simply ignore this level when the EU elite decide it to be necessary, so much for democracy.

After Brexit hard or soft, the EU will continue with its aim of creating one country called the European Union often mistakenly referred to as Europe.

All its members will eventually - it make take them a number of years, but the destination cannot be stopped - will be forced or encouraged to convert to the euro. They will also have to accept that the EU wants to have one finance minister, one defence minister and effectively one President with total control over the current Prime

Ministers of the individual members.

Financially the EU will continue to demand more and more money from its contributing members as it takes more power and control to its centre. Eventually, it will more likely than not be in charge of taxation generally and the distribution of that taxation.

In order to pay that contribution the UK has to earn money from export trade outside the EU - this is limited by being a member of the EU - and not as a result of trade within the EU.

As a member of the shrinking economic potential of the EU the future destiny for the UK economy looks bleak.

Meanwhile Macron and Merkel would like to see trans-national lists, which would allow MEP's to be elected by voters in more than one country. Hence MEP's would no longer represent a single member state. The idea although recently rejected by member states, but not by Donald Tusk who said "Leaders will come back to the issue in future". EU speak, for we will find another way to bring it into force.

LETTERS

Tel: 08456 120 175 email: eurofacts@junepress.com

Nationhood

Dear Sir,

The UK many years ago lost, or some may say more correctly gave away the British Empire, however the country survived.

Why therefore is leaving the EU after 40 years considered by many to be a disaster that will result in the UK becoming a third world?

Perhaps they have not understood that life goes on and self-determination by a democratically elected parliament is a goal worth fighting for.

Former countries that were part of the British Empire fought and died for just that reason. Those countries today are able to create a country that they believe in and are proud to be part of.

The time has come for the UK citizens to be proud and love the country they live in, they can then make whatever rules and regulations they wish to be governed by.

JUDITH REYNOLDS
Bristol

Political education

Dear Sir,

The Labour party like the SNP wish the voting age to be lowered to 16, clearly in the hope that it will improve their electoral chances.

However, in order to bring sixteen year olds into the voting system surely that will require schools to provide unbiased political information to pupils. It will also require all political parties to address children in schools in order that they are fully informed.

This could also result in less time for core subjects and the need to make sure that the teaching staff are also fully vetted on their political agenda to

avoid bias being taught. Currently it is often considered that the teaching profession is very left wing.

DAVID EVANS
London

Goodbye to my England, So long my old friend
Your days are numbered, being brought to an end
To be Scottish, Irish or Welsh that's fine
But don't say you're English, that's way out of line.
The French and the Germans may call themselves such
So may Norwegians, the Swedes and the Dutch
You can say you are Russian or maybe a Dane
But don't say you're English ever again.
At Broadcasting House the word is taboo
Even schools are affected, staff do as they're told
They must not teach children about England of old.
Writers like Shakespeare, Milton and Shaw
The pupils don't learn much about them anymore
How about Agincourt, Hastings, Arnheim or Mons?
When England lost hosts of her very brave sons.
We are not Europeans, how can we be?
Europe is miles away, over the sea
We're the English from England, Let's all be proud
Stand up and be counted - Shout it out loud!
Let's tell our Government and Brussels too
We're proud of our heritage and the Red, White and Blue
Fly the flag of Saint George or the Union Jack
Let the world know - WE WANT OUR ENGLAND BACK!!!

UKIP still needed

Dear Sir,

Following the recent removal of Henry Bolton as leader, I hope a new powerful leader can be found quickly.

The work of UKIP is far from over, especially when confronting the well-funded pro-EU lobby. Meanwhile I am glad to see that Gerard Batten MEP has stepped up to the plate by taking over the leader ship even if it is only temporarily.

SALLY DAVIES
Exeter

Brexit games

Dear Sir,

The latest Labour party idea of creating 'A Customs Union' with the EU is not

very different to the Conservatives "Trade Agreement" with the EU idea.

These ideas both rely on the EU wanting to be constructive about trade deals whereas the European Commission is only interested in the political outcome.

The idea of just focusing the UK's future trade policy as only within the EU is in stark contrast to the wishes of the UK electorate expressed in the referendum result.

RONALD BEAVIS
Manchester

Devolution

Dear Sir,

I was interested to hear Scottish and Welsh leaders saying that if the UK leaves the EU then some of these repatriated powers from the EU should be given to them.

In other words they are happy to be dictated to by the unelected foreign

bureaucrats but not by an elected UK government. Within the EU they have no effective voice but in the UK parliament they have a number of elected members who can help frame the rules by which they are governed.

Is it just me, or they unaware of what democracy really means.

MARGARET SWIRE
Glasgow

Cost of food

Dear Sir,

It appears that our politicians are unaware of history. When the UK joined the Common Market the cost of food went UP not DOWN for the citizens of the UK. Leaving gives us the opportunity to reverse that process

MAUREEN COLEMAN
Coventry

MEETINGS

The Freedom Association
0845 833 9626

Friday - Saturday **23rd - 24th March**

For all those who care about Freedom and Liberty

Further details including full list of speakers to be announced

FREEDOM FESTIVAL

Hotel Miramar, East Cliff,
Bournemouth BH1

Admission - Information details contact Freedom Association

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Wednesday **28th March**, 6.00 pm

"The USSR in the Cold War Years"

Professor Polly Jones, *University College Oxford*

PUBLIC MEETING

Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free

Campaign for an Independent Britain (CIB)
0116 2874 622

Saturday **14th April**

AGM 11.00 am
Meeting 2.00 - 6.00 pm

Further details including full list of speakers to be announced

PUBLIC MEETING

Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James's Street, London

Admission Free

FREE - Advertising Space

Should you be planning a meeting and/or conference dealing with the subject of the UK-EU relationship.

eurofacts Phone: 08456 120 175 or Email: eurofacts@juneypress.com

Gresham College
020 7831 0575

Tuesday **24th April**, 6.00 pm

"Minor Political Parties"

Vernon Bogdanor CBE FBA, *Visiting Professor of Political History*

PUBLIC MEETING

Museum of London, London Wall,
London EC2

Admission Free

The Economic Research Council

Tuesday **26th June**, 6.30 pm

"Trade"

Shanker Singham, *Special Trade Commissioner at Legatum Institute*

PUBLIC MEETING

Royal Over-Seas League, Royal Over-Seas House, 6 Park Place, St James's Street, London

Admission by ticket

(Non-ERC members £15 - Students £10) via: www.ercouncil.org

DIARY OF EVENTS

2018

UK Philip Hammond's **13th March**
Spring Statement

Russian Presidential **18th March**
Election

EU Summit **22nd-23rd March**

Austria takes over **1st July**
EU Council Presidency

2019

Romania takes over **1st January**
EU Council Presidency

Official date **29th March**
for completion of
Article 50 negotiations
between the UK and the EU

EU post-Brexit Summit **May**
Sibiu, Romania

USEFUL WEB SITES

British Constitution Group

www.britishconstitutiongroup.com

British Future

www.britishfuture.org

British Weights & Measures Assoc.

www.bwmaonline.com

Bruges Group

www.brugesgroup.com

Campaign Against Euro-Federalism

www.caef.org.uk

Campaign for an Independent Britain

www.campaignforanindependentbritain.org.uk

Change Britain

www.changebritain.org

Concordance

www.concordanceout.eu

Conservatives for Britain

www.conservativesforbritain.org

Democracy Movement

www.democracymovement.org.uk

English Constitution Group

www.englishconstitutiongroup.org

EU Observer

www.euobserver.com

EU Truth

www.eutruth.org.uk

European Commission (London)

www.ccc.org.uk

European Foundation

www.europeanfoundation.org

Freedom Association

www.tfa.net

Futurus

www.futurus-thinktank.com

Get Britain Out

www.getbritainout.org

Global Britain

www.globalbritain.org

Global Vision

www.global-vision.net

GrassRootsOut

www.grassrootsout.co.uk

June Press (Publications)

www.juneypress.com

Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign

www.lesc.org.uk

Leave.eu

www.Leave.eu

New Alliance

www.newalliance.org.uk

Open Europe

www.openeurope.org.uk

Sovereignty

www.sovereignty.org.uk

Statewatch

www.statewatch.org

The Taxpayers' Alliance

www.taxpayersalliance.com

United Kingdom Independence Party

www.ukip.org

Seizing the moment

by *John Ashworth*. **£4.00**

The opportunities for UK fisheries after Brexit with the restoration of the 200nm/midline resources zone.

Germany's Fourth Reich

by *Harry Beckhough*. **£8.00**

Code-breaker and spy explains the real drive by Germany for control over Europe without war.

**The Magic of Banking
The Coming Collapse**

by *Godfrey Bloom*. **£7.00**

This clear look into the current banking system exposes the upcoming crisis.

What it Will Look Like

How leaving the EU and the Single Market can be made to work for Britain
by *the Bruges Group*. **£5.00**

Addressing the main concerns this report shows a clear route out of the EU.

**Britain's Referendum Decision
and its Effects**

by *Stephen Bush*. **£8.99**

Clear facts that explain the dangers we face inside or outside the EU.

The Democratic Imperative

by *Robert Corfe*. **£12.99**

Why democracy is only possible in a nation state.

Clean Brexit

Why Leaving The EU Still Makes Sense
Building a post Brexit economy for all
by *Liam Halligan & Gerard Lyons*.
£20.00

Economists explain why the UK should embrace Brexit and the benefits that will flow for the UK and Europe.

A Doomed Marriage

Why Britain Should Leave the EU
by *Daniel Hannan*. **£8.99**

Without EU membership, the UK can become the most successful nation.

**The UK's liabilities to the financial
mechanisms of the European Union**

by *Bob Lyddon*. **£8.00**

How the UK's exposure to the EU is over £80 billion and maybe more.

**Britain Votes To Leave,
What Happens Next**

by *Ian Milne*. **£2.50**

A fictional letter from the UK Prime Minister to the EU after a referendum decision to leave with detailed ideas.

**The Betrayal of
British Industry**

by *J. Brian Heywood*. **£3.00**

How government has failed to protect the UK industrial sector from foreign companies, putting at risk the long-term economic prosperity of the UK.

The Market Solution -FLEXCIT

by *Dr Richard E, North*. **£5.00**

How the UK can leave the EU, through an orderly, plausible and practical way, that is practically risk-free.

Spyhunter

by *Michael Shrimpton*. **£25.00**

A fascinating alternative view of history, including the EU, exposes the secret world of German intelligence.

A Life Most Ordinary

by *Ken Wight*. **£7.99**

A UKIP activist reveals how his life in Slough has changed over the last 60 years, especially with the rise of the EU.

British History

by *Hugh Williams*. **£15.00**

An illustrated guide to a history of Britain from before Roman times to the present day House of Windsor.

Send payment to

**THE JUNE PRESS LTD
PO BOX 119
TOTNES, DEVON TQ9 7WA**

Tel: 08456 120 175
Email: info@junepress.com

WEB SALES www.junepress.com

**PLEASE ADD 10% P&P (UK ONLY)
20% for Europe 30% Rest of World**

eurofacts

SUBSCRIBE TODAY

RATES

UK	£30
Europe (Airmail)	£42/€50
Rest of World	£55/\$95
Reduced rate (UK only)	£20

Reduced rate for senior citizens, students & unemployed only.

Subscriptions alone do not cover costs so we are also seeking donations.

Please send me the monthly *eurofacts* and the occasional papers.

I enclose my annual payment of £.....
to *eurofacts*: PO Box 119
Totnes, Devon TQ9 7WA

Name

Address

.....

.....

Postcode

Date

Please print clearly in capital letters

FOR "EU"

European Commission	020 7973 1992
European Movement	020 7940 5252
Federal Trust	020 7735 4000

AGAINST "EU"

Britain Out	01403 741736
British Weights & Measures Assoc.	01738 783936
Business for Britain	0207 3406070
CIB	0116 2874 622
Conservativesforbritain	
www.conservativesforbritain.org	
Democracy Movement	020 7603 7796
Freedom Association	0845 833 9626
Labour Euro-Safeguards Campaign	020 7691 3800
New Alliance	020 7385 9757
Fishing Association	01224 313473

CROSS PARTY THINK TANKS

British Future	www.britishfuture.org
Bruges Group	020 7287 4414
Global Britain	www.globalbritain.org
Global Vision	www.global-vision.net
Open Europe	0207 197 2333

POLITICAL PARTIES

Conservative	020 7222 9000
Rt Hon Mrs Theresa May MP	
English Democrats	01277 896000
Robin Tilbrook (Chairman)	
Green Party	020 7272 4474
Caroline Lucas MP and Jonathan Bartley	
Labour	020 7783 1000
Jeremy Corbyn MP	
Liberal	01562 68361
Mr Rob Wheway	
Liberal Democrats	020 7222 7999
Rt Hon Sir Vince Cable	
UK Independence Party	01626 831290
Acting leader Gerard Batten MEP	

ISSN 1361-4134

