

At last, good news on Brexit: Britain is heading for Norway

[Simon Jenkins](#) Says in the pro EU minded Guardian

“Norway here we come. This is the good news on the Brexit front. It will take two years. The voyage will be stormy and the destination messy. But [plus-or-minus Norway](#) offers the only sensible way for Britain through the Brexit morass. Labour’s Jeremy Corbyn and Keir Starmer agree. Nick Clegg agrees. Most of the cabinet and the Tories’ Remainers publicly or privately agree. So do those close to the Brussels negotiations. They still seem unable to shake hands on it, but they will soon.”

EU leaders accused of not protecting citizens’ rights in Brexit deal

More from Simon Jenkins is set out below between the inverted commas. [The full article can be found on the Guardian web site. These are extracts of that article]

Mr. Jenkins says: “The week has been full of hopeful signs. On Monday the EU’s Michel Barnier – a secret “Norwegian” – could not conceal his glee at his [cobbled-together transition deal](#), nor could his British counterpart, David Davis.”

“The deal was a document of the most brutal realism. For now, the UK remains a non-participating member of the single market, with freedom of movement and right of settlement. Farmers and fishers are “as you were”. Britain can discuss “offshore” trade deals, but not agree them. Hard Brexiteers can go jump off a cliff.”

Concordanceout says that you should read the federalist agenda of the Guardian with care and with a critical eye for the federal intent and devotion to Spinelli and the destruction of the UK as a nation state.!.

“The smart money in Brussels was always on the Norway option. The so-called European Economic Area was a simple “off-the-shelf” basis for a bespoke deal with the UK. The challenge lay not in negotiating it but in overcoming Theresa May’s belief that her fate depended on some 50 backbench leavers and the editors of the Sun and the Daily Mail. She was terrified of them.”

“Even so, the assumption was that, as the March 2019 deadline approached and

the impossibility of a “frictionless” hard Brexit became ever clearer, Theresa May would be forced into a series of tactical retreats. The tough [Lancaster House](#) and [Florence](#) speeches, and Chequers [speech] last month, were dollops of fudge to keep hard Brexiteers on board. But this week’s transition deal would mark a parting of the ways. So it has appeared. The sight of Boris Johnson, Michael Gove and assorted friends shuffling miserably into line, [whimpering over dead fish](#), was heart-warming. So in a sense has been May’s and Johnson’s distraction over Russian poison, hysterically comparing Vladimir Putin to Stalin and Hitler.”

Now you can begin to see the true anti UK as a nation state objectives of Mr. Jenkins. Mr. Jenkins, like Mr. Cable, dislikes the UK as a self-governing independent sovereign nation State. Says concordanceout.

Mr. Jenkins. “A detailed [analysis of the Norway option](#) in last month’s Economist was unequivocally favourable. Norway in 1994 went through the [same referendum debate as Britain](#), with the same drift towards compromise. The country remained in the European Free Trade Association (Efta). It stayed open to a single European market in goods, capital and labour, but it held aloof from the common fisheries and agriculture policies. Norway also stayed outside the EU customs union, to secure its own trade deals elsewhere. It is hard to see what substantive argument a Brexiteer could have against this.”

“Norway fiercely denies it is a “vassal state”. It is [rated by the Economist Intelligence Unit](#) as the “most democratic” in the world. It must abide by EU rules on trade in goods within the EU. But so must EU members, who can be overruled by majority voting. On matters of joint concern, such as energy, Norway is consulted and heard. Its lobbying office next to the Berlaymont building is more effective than any council vote. As for the European court, the Efta court liaises with it and is rarely in conflict.”

“The one argument against the Norway option for Britain is its lying outside the customs union” says this Guardian federalist. **It is a complete nonsense says concordanceout. The Customs Union is now and would be a disaster for the UK. See eureferendum.com. for much more on this. See Dr. R North articles in concordanceout.eu See also Norwegian website Nei til EU or No to the EU (Norway).**

Concordanceout says: -

The EU and its protectionist anti-competitive and inefficiency supporting customs union in fact failing to grow and appears more to be in its dotage with a failing Euro currency.

The Euro will fail without a political and fiscal union so wanted by the EU, its commissioners, The Spinelli Group and the founding Nazi and French fathers of this Federal anti-nation state concept. None of thi si swanted by

Brexiters.

Mr. Jenkins continues: ““The one argument against the Norway option for Britain is that it would lie outside the customs union.” **concordance responds that this is just marvelous and vital to be out of the Customs Stifling anti-competitive and anti-efficiency Union**

“Efta has reached deals with [38 countries](#), [including Canada](#). But it requires a hard border with Sweden to enforce country-of-origin controls.”

Please read Dr. R North on the Norway Sweden arrangements. Concordanceout responds that CONFORMITY ISSUES REQUIRE INSPECTIONS AND CERTIFICATION. Those matters demand regulatory practices and so a technical solution to the border issues. “

Please remember that only 20% of UK GDP is exported. Of that 20%of UK trade:- less Entrepot trade of 7% of GDP the rest amounts to 13% of UK GDP. That 13%, amounts to only 37 % of UK exports and has been dwindling for many years. The growing UK export volumes to non EU buyers amount to 64% of UK exports and these are continuing to grow. Why on earth would anyone in their right mind seek to remain in a strait-jacket of an EU customs union designed for France and Germany .

In any event the EFTA move is merely a better stepping stone to freedom out of the EU and then out of EFTA and so out of the the single market as well.

Mr. Jenkins continues: “Yes, Britain would pay into the EU for all this, as does Norway. But Norway’s money is carefully earmarked for grants, scholarships and projects.” **That cost, when calculated will be substantially less than the blackmail of £40 BILLION!!!**

- Simon Jenkins is a Guardian columnist: **So beware.**